



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DAT	LE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/527,424	03/17/2000		Rolf Kohler	10191/1333	4178
26646	7590 01/	/13/2003	·		
KENYON & KENYON ONE BROADWAY NEW YORK, NY 10004			EXAMINER		
				MCLEAN MAYO, KIMBERLY N	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2187	

DATE MAILED: 01/13/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

F	₩

Applicant(s) Application No. KOHLER ET AL. 09/527,424 Advisory Action **Art Unit** Examiner 2187 Kimberly N. McLean-Mayo -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED December 26, 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)] a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on _____. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: (a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: . 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): _____. 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. ☐ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☐ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: . Claim(s) objected to: _____. Claim(s) rejected: _____.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

PTO-303 (Rev. 04-01)

10. ☐ Other: See Continuation Sheet

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: _____.

Advisory Action

8. The proposed drawing correction filed on ____ is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

Part of Paper No. 11

Knlerly M





Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The arguments presented are not persuasive. The terms correct and erasing are standard terms and thus can be given a standard/general meaning. Thus, the interpretation of an approved area to erase or program, wherein approved area means the area is approved as an accurate location for erasing, is proper.

Additionally, the Examiner disagrees with the assertions provided by the Applicant with respect to the PGM bit. The PGM bit is altered when the PGM bit is set subsequent to the PGM bit being not set.

Regarding Applicant's arguments regarding claims 7-11 and 19-23, Lee does disclose an identifying a correct programming and erasing and thus the rejection(s) made with Lee and Yousuke do render claims 7-11 and 19-23 obvious under 35 USC 103 (a).

Continuation of 10. Other: The amendment presented overcomes the 35 USC 112 rejection. However, the 35 USC 103 rejection remain